

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,485	12/19/2001	Alan W. O'Neill	36-1674	7995
23117 7590 08/07/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER	
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LOOR	HSU, ALPUS	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/018,485	O'NEILL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alpus H. Hsu	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 .	lulv 2007.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		rosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,8,9,17 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-7, 10-16, 18-21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv	tion No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receiv	ved.
Attachment(s)		· (DTO .440)
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2616

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-7 of the response after final, filed July 30, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-22 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the **finality** of previous office action has been **withdrawn**. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of O'Neill et al. '646 (newly cited) and Ramjee (of record).
- 2. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of claims 8 and 9, line 2, the term of "said routing update" does not have antecedent basis.

3. Claims 1, 17 and 22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,177,646 B2 in view of Ramjee et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,842,462 (of record).

By omitting the further steps of handing over and routing packets to a third access node via a third routing path or a fourth routing path, and utilizing the "interface designating" or "tunneling" feature prior to the hand-over of the mobile node and IP address feature taught by Ramjee (see col. 3, lines 50-57, col. 4, lines 10-13) instead of using a type of routing update, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,177,646 B2 claims the same invention as claims 1, 17 and 22 of the instant application.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

Application/Control Number: 10/018,485

Art Unit: 2616

harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPO2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 2-7, 10-16, 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2616

- 6. Claims 8 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ahmadi et al. '026, '804 & '300, and Chang et al. are additionally cited to show the common feature of handover/handoff in mobile communication system utilizing mobile stations, base stations, routers similar to the claimed invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571)272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2616

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2616